1	PAUL J. STEINER, ESQ. (SBN 41117) LAW OFFICES OF PAUL J. STEINER			
_	550 California Street			
2	Sacramento Tower, Suite 700			
3	San Francisco, California 94104			
	Telephone: (415) 981-6100			
4	Facsimile: (415) 984-0950			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
6	DSSDR, LLC and			
0	ANDREW G. BENSINGER			
7				
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRIC	T COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
10				
	DSSDR, LLC, a Florida Corporation; and	Case No	.: CV 12-4336 JSW	
11	ANDREW G. BENSINGER, an Individual,			
12			ATION FOR CHANGE OF	
1.2	Plaintiffs,		ING AND REPLY SCHEDULES	
13	vs.	` ′	MOTIONS BY ZENITH ECH AND AKASH SARAF TO	
14	vs.		S AND TO DISMISS THE	
15	ZENITH INFOTECH LTD, an Indian,		LAINT OR TRANSFER CASE TO	
13	Corporation; AKASH SARAF, an	ANOTHER VENUE AND (2) MOTION		
16	Individual; CONTINUUM MANAGED BY CONTINUUM, MICHAEL GEORGE			
17	SERVICES, LLC, a Delaware Corporation; AND SUMMIT PARTNERS TO fka ZENITH RMM; MICHAEL GEORGE, TRANSFER VENUE			
1 /	an Individual; SUMMIT PARTNERS, L.P.,	TRANSFER VENUE and		
18	a Delaware Limited Partnership; and,		ORDER	
19	DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,			
			December 14, 2012	
20	Defendants.	Time:	9:00 AM	
21		Dept: Judge:	Courtroom 11 Hon. Jeffrey S. White	
F		Juager		
22				
23				
24	STIPUI	LATION		
24	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs commenced this action in the Superior Court of California for the			
25				
26	County of Alameda on August 6, 2012;			
27				
28	STIDLIL ATION AND ODDED ON MOTIONS SET E	OD HEADI	NC 12/14/12	

Page 1

 $DSSDR\ v.$ Zenith Infotech LTD, et al., Case No. C 12-04334 JSW

WHEREAS, the matter was removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division on August 16, 2012;

WHEREAS, on August 29, 2012 plaintiffs and defendants Continuum Managed Services, LLC, Michael George and Summit Partners, L.P. (the "Continuum Defendants") entered into a stipulation extending the Continuum Defendants' time to move, answer or otherwise respond to the complaint;

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2012 plaintiffs and defendants Zenith Infotech and Akash Saraf (the "Infotech Defendants") entered into a stipulation extending the Infotech Defendants' time to move, answer or otherwise respond to the complaint;

WHEREAS, on October 4, 2012, the Infotech Defendants filed their motion to dismiss for improper venue pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(3) and 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) or, alternatively, to transfer venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) or, alternatively, to transfer venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) and, as to Akash Saraf, to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2) (the "Infotech Motion"), which is set for hearing on December 14, 2012;

WHEREAS, on October 4, 2012, the Continuum Defendants filed their Motion to Transfer Venue Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404 (the "Continuum Transfer Motion"), which is set for hearing on December 14, 2012;

WHEREAS, on or before October 18, 2012 plaintiffs' opposition or other opposing papers are due to be filed in response to the Infotech Motion and the Continuum Transfer Motion,

WHEREAS, each of the motions is substantive and plaintiffs' counsel is scheduled to be out of state from October 11, 2012 returning to his office on October 22, 2012 and needs and has requested additional time within which to prepare and file appropriate responses and defendants are amenable, subject to Court approval, to an alteration of the schedule for opposition papers of plaintiffs and reply papers of defendants to be filed, as set forth below.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, subject to approval by the Court, as follows:

1	1.	1. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to the Infotech Motion by November 9, 2012;		
2	2. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to the Continuum Transfer Motion by			
3		November 12, 2012;		
4	3. The Infotech Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of the Infotech			
5	Motion by November 30, 2012;			
6	4.	4. The Continuum Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of the		
7		Continuum Transfer Motion by November 30, 2012.		
8				
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
10				
11	DATED: O	ctober 12, 2012	LAW OFFICES OF PAUL J. STEINER	
12			Dru (a)	
13			By:/s/_ PAUL J. STEINER	
14			Attorneys for Plaintiffs DSSDR, LLC and ANDREW G. BENSINGER	
15				
16	DATED: O	ctober 12, 2012	GORDON & REES LLP	
17				
18			By:/s/ GORDON I. ENDOW	
19			Attorneys for Defendants ZENITH INFOTECH and AKASH SARAF	
20				
21	DATED: O	ctober 12, 2012	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	
22			By:/s/	
23			RICHARD W. SLACK Attorneys for Defendants CONTINUUM	
24			MANAGED SERVICES, LLC, MICHAEL	
25			GEORGE and SUMMIT PARTNERS, L.P.	
26				
27				
28				

1 **ORDER** 2 Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that 3 the opposing and reply paper due dates for the motions set for hearing on December 14, 2012 are 4 altered and reset as follows: Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to the Infotech Motion by November 9, 2012; 5 1. 2. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to the Continuum Transfer Motion by 6 November $\frac{2}{12}$, 2012; 7 8 3. The Infotech Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of the Infotech 9 Motion by November 30, 2012; The Continuum Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of the 4. 10 Continuum Transfer Motion by November 30, 2012. 11 12 13 DATED: October <u>14</u> 2012 14 15 16 17 Northern District of California 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28